

**Dugesiana**, Año 21, No. 2, Julio-Diciembre 2014, es una publicación Semestral, editada por la Universidad de Guadalajara, a través del Centro de Estudios en Zoología, por el Centro Universitario de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias. Camino Ramón Padilla Sánchez # 2100, Nextipac, Zapopan, Jalisco, Tel. 37771150 ext. 33218, http://dugesiana.cucba.udg.mx, glenusmx@gmail.com. Editor responsable: José Luis Navarrete Heredia. Reserva de Derechos al Uso Exclusivo 04-2009-062310115100-203, ISSN: 2007-9133, otorgados por el Instituto Nacional del Derecho de Autor. Responsable de la última actualización de este número: Coordinación de Tecnologías para el Aprendizaje, Unidad Multimedia Instruccional, M.B.A. Oscar Carbajal Mariscal. Fecha de la última modificación Diciembre 2014, con un tiraje de un ejemplar.

Las opiniones expresadas por los autores no necesariamente reflejan la postura del editor de la publicación.

Queda estrictamente prohibida la reproducción total o parcial de los contenidos e imágenes de la publicación sin previa autorización de la Universidad de Guadalajara.

ISSN 1405-4094 (edición impresa)

# Re-establishment of *Erythres* Kinsey, 1937 as a valid genus of gallwasps from Mexico (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae: Cynipini)

## Reestablecimiento del género Erythres Kinsey, 1937 como género válido de avispas agalladoras de México (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae: Cynipini)

# J. Pujade-Villar<sup>1</sup> & G. Melika<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitat de Barcelona, Facultat de Biologia, Departament de Biologia Animal, Avda. Diagonal 645, 08028-Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: jpujade@ub.edu; <sup>2</sup>Plant Health and Molecular Biology Laboratory, National Food Chain Safety Office, Directorate of Plant Protection, Soil Conservation and Agri-environment, Budaörsi str. 141-145, Budapest 1118, Hungary, E-mail: melikageorge@ gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

The genus *Ervthres*, from Mexico, is re-established as a valid genus after a detailed examination of the type material of two known species: E. hastata Kinsey, 1937 and E. jaculi Kinsey, 1937. The morphological characters to differentiate Erythres from other genera of oak gall inducers are given. The differences to recognize both species mentioned are commented. Data on the biology are also exposed.

Key words: Cynipidae, Cynipini, Erythres, Mexico, species, galls.

#### RESUMEN

Se reestablece el género Erythres, de México, como un género válido después de un examen detallado del material tipo de dos especies conocidas: E. hastata Kinsey, 1937 y E. jaculi Kinsey, 1937. Se exponen los caracteres morfológicos para diferenciar Erythres de los otros géneros de inductores de agallas de roble. Las diferencias para reconocer las dos especies mencionadas son comentadas. También se exponen datos sobre la biología. Palabras clave: Cynipidae, Cynipini, Erythres, México, especies, agallas.

The genus Erythres was established by Kinsey (1937) for two species known from Mexico only, E. hastata Kinsey, 1937 and E. jaculi Kinsey, 1937. The type species of this genus, E. hastata, was established in the original description. Both species are known only from the asexual females. Later it was synonymized to the genus Andricus Hartig, 1840 (Melika & Abrahamson, 2002). The authors mentioned that "the only character separating it from Andricus is the presence of a simple tarsal claw" but it is not exactly so, some other important diagnostic characters, which Andricus genus is lacking, were omitted. In this study we discuss the morphological reasons to re-establish the validity of the Erythres genus.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The type material of E. hastata and E. jaculi have been studied. The type material of E. jaculi is very scarce, according to the original description consists of three specimens, however, only the holotype and one paratype were located. By contrast, the type series of *E hastata* is very large (more than 150 specimens according to the original description, 145 found). Some material collected from Zacatecas.

The material is deposited in the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH, New York City, USA; curator J. Carpenter), Plant Health and Molecular Biology Laboratory (PHMBL, Budapest, Hungary; curator G. Melika) and Barcelona University (UB, Barcelona, Catalonia; curator J. Pujade-Villar).

The SEM pictures were made using field-emission gun environmental scanning electron microscope (FEI Quanta 200 ESEM), it was used for high-resolution imaging without goldcoating the specimens. Optical images of wasp anatomy were produced with a Leica DFC450 Digital Microscope Camera

attached to a Leica DM2700 M compound microscope, followed by processing in LAS Montage MultiFocus System and Adobe Photoshop 6.0. Galls images were taken by J. Pujade-Villar with a Canon camera PowerShot SX210 15 followed by processing with Adobe Photoshop CS3 program.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Andricus Hartig is a large genus with about 350 described species (Melika 2006). Melika & Abrahamson (2002) considered that Dros Kinsey, 1937; Erythres Kinsey, 1937; Liodora Förster, 1869, Parandricus Kieffer, 1906 and Trichoteras Ashmead, 1897 had to be included into the Andricus genus and synonymized all those genera to Andricus. All species in the Andricus genus are known to have tarsal claws toothed, except the two species of the synonymized Erythres genus. The tarsal claw is an important character to differentiate genera within Cynipini, however, according to several authors (Ronquist, 1999a, 1999b; Liljeblad & Ronquist, 1998) the presence of a basal lobe or tooth on a claw have evolved independently several times in different cynipid groups. Two cynipid genera, Trigonaspis Hartig, 1840 and Callirhytis Förster, 1869, include species with and without basal lobes on tarsal claws. All known Andricus species do possess a basal lobe on tarsal claws.

For this reason, exact differentiation of Erythres from Andricus, based only on the tarsal claw character states by far is not enough. Adults' morphology presents several peculiarities that allow us to affirm that Erythres is a valid genus, distinct from Andricus. Erythres species have the body with a very uniform finely punctato-rugose or rugose surface of the mesosoma and the head (Figs 1a-d; 2a-c, 2f-h), without any wrinkles and/or carinae (in

Andricus the sculpture of the mesosoma and different parts of the head always different, never the same on the head and mesosoma, usually with some wrinkles and carinae on the frons and in some part of the mesoscutum and/or mescutellum); the malar sulcus weak but present in a form of shallow impressed area right under the compound eye and nearly reaches the base of the mandible (Fig. 1a) (never present in Andricus); the forewing margins are not ciliate (only very few species posses this character in Andricus); notauli incomplete, distinct in the posterior 1/4-1/2 and obsolete in the anterior half, hidden by the sculpture; anterior parallel lines obsolete, hidden by the sculpture, however, well delimited by black stripes (Fig. 2a-c, 2f-h) (in Andricus notauli and anterior parallel lines distinctly delimited, never hidden by the sculpture of the mesoscutum); scutellar foveae are very narrow, with shiny bottom, fused, never delimited by carinae and not divided by a median carina (Fig. 2c, 2h) (in Andricus scutellar foveae usually are distinctly delimited by carinae and divided by a median carina). All of these characters allow us to affirm that Erythres is not a synonym of Andricus. The malar sulcus is a peculiar character which is present only in few genera, Belonocnema Mayr, 1881; Biorhiza Westwood, 1840; Callirhytis Förster, 1869; Loxaulus Mayr, 1881; Neuroterus Hartig, 1840 and Trigonaspis Hartig, 1840.

Erythres differs from all other Cynipini genera by the very uniform sculpture all over the mesosoma (pronotum, mesoscutum, mesoscutellum, mesopleuron, sides of propodeum), head (malar space, genae, lower face, frons and interocellar area) and even dorsal surface of legs (coxae, femora, tibiae). Only five genera of Cynipini, Belonocnema, Callirhytis, Erythres, Loxaulus and Trigonaspis, in the asexual generations have the next character combination: (i) the transscutal articulation present, (ii) tarsal claws are simple, (iii) the malar sulcus present, (iii) the prominent part of the ventral spine of the hypopygium needle-like, long, never broadened till the apex, and (iv) adults are fully winged. Belonocnema differs from Erythres by the apex of the fore tibia, which extends far behind the base of fore tarsomere I, and the 2<sup>nd</sup> abscissa of Rs is strongly curved. Trigonaspis differs from Erythres by the smooth mesoscutum and deep and complete notauli. Callirhytis 'sensu Förster' differs from Erythres by the presence of parallel transverse carinae on the mesoscutum. Finally, Loxaulus differs from Erythres also by the finely transversely coriaceous sculpture of the mesoscutum and the presence of the median longitudinal carina in the central part of the propodeum and the short prominent part of the ventral spine of the hypopygium which is always less than 3.5 times as long as broad while in Erythres is always longer.

The galls are monolocular and very peculiar in shape, represented by cone-like clusters, each gall of which enclosing a small larval cell (Fig. 3). They are some similar to the cone-like galls which are induced by some Cecidomyiidae (Diptera), *Andricus quercusfoliatus* (Ashmead, 1881), *A. stropus* Ashmead, 1887 and A. *cinnamomeus* Ashmead, 1887 from the Nearctic; *A. foecundatrix* (Hartig, 1840) from the Western Palaearctic and *A. mukaigawae* (Mukaigawa, 1913) from the Eastern Palaearctic, nevertheless, the adults of *Erythres* are quite distinct from all of mentioned species. The other very important difference between

*Erythres* and mentioned *Andricus* species are the host oaks. *Erythres* species are known only from red oaks (section Lobatae of *Quercus* L.), while all the listed *Andricus* species are associated with white oaks only (section Quercus of *Quercus*).

For all the above-mentioned characters we think that Erythres is a valid genus, and do not corresponds to any other Cynipini genus. The description of the genus given by Kinsey (1937: 461-462) is enough detail to recognize it. *Erythres* can be defined by the next characters: the body is robust, 2.5-3.5 mm in length; the lower face without striae radiating from clypeus, entire head uniformly rugose-punctate; the malar sulcus indistinct but present; genae are broadened behind the compound eyes (Figs 1a-d); antennae with 13 flagellomeres (sometimes F12 and F13 partially are fused) (Fig. 1e); the mesoscutum coarsely granular to rugose-punctate; notauli incomplete, well impressed in the posterior 1/4-1/2, less distinct or obsolete in the anterior half (Fig. 2a-b, 2f-g); the mesoscutellum is rounded with a uniform surface sculpture similar to that of the mesoscutum; scutellar foveae are very narrow, fused, not divided by a median carina, with shiny dark bottom (Fig. 2c, 2h); lateral propodeal carinae bended outwards, delimiting a transversal central coriaceous area (Fig. 2d, 2i); forewings smoky yellow, without cilia on margins, with distinct closed areolet; legs rugosepunctate on dorsal parts, tarsal claws are simple; all metasomal tergites with dense whitish setae laterally; the prominent part of the ventral spine of the hypopygium long, more than 8.0 times as long as broad (Fig. 1g).

Erythres includes two species: E. hastata and E. jaculi. Both species are very similar. They can be differentiated by the next characters: anterior parallel lines and parapsidal lines are superficial but visible in *E. hastata* (absent but indicated by black stripes in E. jaculi), notauli broad and distinct in the posterior 1/3-1/2 and obsolete in the anterior half in *E. hastata* (notauli narrow and distinct only in the posterior 1/4-1/3, absent in the anterior part of the mesoscutum in E. jaculi); areolet of a usual size in E. hastata (Fig. 2e) (much bigger in E. jaculi, Fig. 2j); metascutellum curved ventrally in E. hastata (Fig. 2d) (straight in E. jaculi, Fig. 2i); scutellar foveae are small and narrow but always distinctly impressed, with shiny bottom in E. hastata (very superficially impressed, with sculptured bottom in E. jaculi). Kinsey differentiated the two species by different colour patterns, however, both species are similar in this aspect (length of black stripes can strongly vary in E. hastata specimens). The galls are also different in shape but associated with the same oak host. Erythres hastata has an elongated gall and was collected by Kinsey on Q. castanea Née (= Q. serrulata Trel.) known from Michoacán and Zacatecas (Figs 3a, c) and E. jaculi has a thicker gall also on *Q. castanea* (= *Q. rossii* Trel.) known from Jalisco (Fig. 3b).

*Erythres jaculi* and *E. hastata* are morphologically very similar, as we have commented, and have the same host, then, it is possible that they could be the same species; nevertheless, we accept both species since they have different shape of galls (Fig. 3). More *E. jaculi* specimens must be studied in order to solve this problem. Since Kinsey descriptions, only *E. hastata* was collected from *Q. eduardii* Trel. (Fig. 3c) and *Q. viminea* Trel. (Pujade-Villar *et al.*, 2013: Fig 3a). These two new host records with the earlier known one, *Q. castanea*, all belong to the section Lobatae of *Quercus* L.,

and thus *Erythres* related only to the red oaks. *Quercus eduardii* and *Q. viminea* are known only from Mexico, while *Q. castanea* is known also from Guatemala and El Salvador (Govaerts & Frodin, 1998) and thus it is possible that *Erythres* species can be found south of Mexico also.

**Material examined: Type material of** *Erythres jaculi*: the HOLOTYPE is deposited at the AMNH, with the following labels: "13SW, Sayula, Jal., 1700', Mex, 12.21.31 3.20.32,  $3^{\circ}$ " (handwritten, white label), "Q. rossii Kinsey col." (handwritten, white label), "Erythres jaculi Holotype" (handwritten in this form, red label); one PARATYPE designated by A. Kinsey with the same labels as the holotype, deposited at the PHMBL collection.

Distribution: Jalisco (Mexico).

**Type material of** *Erythres hastata*: the HOLOTYPE is deposited at the AMNH, with the following labels: "Zacapu IIE, Mich. 8000', Mex. Gall 12.20.31', 'Q. serrulata 136 fm. 2.10.32, Kinsey coll." (handwritten, white label) and a red label "Erythres hastata, Holotype (handwritten in this form, red label)"; 4 PARATYPES with the same labels as the holotype, deposited at the PHMBL collection; 141 female paratypes were designated by A. Kinsey which were studied by GM at the AMNH. Additional examined material of *E. hastata* (deposited UB): MEX (ref. 92), Las Cuevitas (Monte Escobedo, Zac.), *Q. viminea* Trel., (7.x.2011) 11.ii.2012: 5<sup>Q</sup>. MEX (ref. 92), Las Guacamayas (Monte Escobedo, Zac.), *Q. eduardii* Trel., (17.x.2011) 11.ii.2012: 1<sup>Q</sup> [Carlos Carrillo Sánchez, coll. in both cases].

Distribution: Michoacán, Zacatecas (Mexico).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to J. Carpenter and Christine Lebeau (AMNH) for the loan of the type material of *Erythres jaculi* Kinsey,

1937 and the given possibility to work with Kinsey collection at the AMNH. We would also like to thank to Carlos Carrillo Sánchez (Centro de Estudio de Ecosistemas, Zacatecas, México) for the collected galls. This work has been done in recognition of the scientific trajectory of the Italian entomologist Dr. Mario Zunino.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Govaerts, R. & D.G. Frodin. 1998. World Checklist and Bibliography of Fagales. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.
- Kinsey, A.C. 1937. New Mexican gall wasps (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae). II. *Revista de Entomología*, 7(4): 428–471.
- Liljeblad, J. & F. Ronquist. 1998. A phylogenetic analysis of higherlevel gall wasp relationships (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae). *Systematic Entomology*, 23(3): 229–252.
- Melika, G. 2006. Gall Wasps of Ukraine. Cynipidae. *Vestnik zoologii*, supplement 21(2): 301–644.
- Melika, G. & Abrahamson, W.G. 2002. Review of the world genera of oak cynipid wasps (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae, Cynipini). (pp. 150–190). In: Melika, G. & Cs. Thuróczy (Eds.) *Parasitic Wasps: Evolution, Systematics, Biodiversity and Biological Control*. Agroinform, Budapest.
- Pujade-Villar, J., O. Cabral-Gamboa, R. Treto-Pereyra, L.G., Landa-Orozco & C. Carrillo-Sánchez. 2013. Primeros datos sobre las agallas de encinos (Hym., Cynipidae) colectadas en la Sierra de Monte Escobedo (Zacatecas, México) sobre Q. viminea. Orsis 27: 123–132.
- Ronquist, F. 1999a. Phylogeny of the Hymenoptera (Insecta): The state of the art. *Zoologica Scripta*, 28(1-2): 3–11.
- Ronquist, F. 1999b. Phylogeny, classification and evolution of the Cynipoidea. *Zoologica Scripta*, 28(1–2): 139–164.

Recibido: 15 de octubre de 2014

Aceptado: 24 de noviembre de 2104



Figure 1. *Erythres hastata*, asexual female: (a) head front view, (b) head lateral view, (c) head dorsal view, (d) head posterior view; (e) antenna. (f) mesosoma, lateral view, (g) metasoma, lateral view.



**Figure 2.** *Erythres hastata* (a-e) and *E. jaculi* (f-j) asexual females: (a, f) SEM mesoscutum in dorsal view (f: holotype material), (b, g) optical mesoscutum in dorsal view (paratype material), (c, h) optical mesoscutellum in dorsal view (paratype material), (d, i) propodeum (e, j) radial cell and areolet of the forewing.



Fig. 3. Galls of *Erythres*: (a) *E. hastata* from the Kinsey collection (AMNH, NYC), (b) *E. jaculi* from the Kinsey collection, and (c) galls of *E. hastata* collected from Zacatecas.